PhD in Business Economics Hedibert Freitas Lopes Advanced Bayesian Econometrics Due date: 9am, September 30th, 2024.

Please submit either your file (handwritten or typed) in PDF or HTML. The file must be a single PDF/HTML document for submission to me at hedibertfl@insper.edu.br. Students should follow the deadlines for submissions. This homework assignment should be done individually.

## AR(1) plus noise

Suppose we observe some time series data  $y_t$  for t = 1, ..., n, jointly denoted by  $y_{1:n}$  when needed, and consider the following normal dynamic linear model:

$$y_t = \theta_t + \epsilon_t \qquad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \qquad (t = 1, \dots, n), \\ \theta_t = \alpha + \beta \theta_{t-1} + \omega_t \qquad \omega_t \sim N(0, \tau^2), \qquad (t = 2, \dots, n),$$

while  $\theta_1 \sim N(a_1, R_1)$ , for known hyperparameters  $a_1$  and  $R_1$ .  $\epsilon_t$  and  $\omega_{t+h}$  are uncorrelated for all h.

Now, conditioning on  $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta, \tau^2, \sigma^2)$  and  $y_{1:n}$ , derive the following full conditionals

a)  $p(\theta_1|\theta_{-1}, y_{1:n}, \gamma)$ b)  $p(\theta_n|\theta_{-n}, y_{1:n}, \gamma)$ c)  $p(\theta_t|\theta_{-t}, y_{1:n}, \gamma)$ 

where  $\theta_{-t} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{t-1}, \theta_{t+1}, \dots, \theta_n)$ . Because of the Markovian structure of the dynamics of  $\theta_t$ , it is easy to show that

$$p(\theta_1|\theta_{-1}, y_{1:n}, \gamma) = p(\theta_1|\theta_2, y_1, \gamma) \propto p(y_1|\theta_1, \gamma)p(\theta_1)p(\theta_2|\theta_1, \gamma)$$
  

$$p(\theta_n|\theta_{-n}, y_{1:n}, \gamma) = p(\theta_n|\theta_{n-1}, y_n, \gamma) \propto p(y_n|\theta_n, \gamma)p(\theta_n|\theta_{n-1}\gamma)$$
  

$$p(\theta_t|\theta_{-t}, y_{1:n}, \gamma) = p(\theta_t|\theta_{t-1}, \theta_{t+1}, y_t, \gamma) \propto p(y_t|\theta_t, \gamma)p(\theta_t|\theta_{t-1}, \gamma)p(\theta_{t+1}|\theta_t, \gamma).$$

Since all densities are Gaussian and linear on  $\theta_t$ , then all full conditional are also Gaussian. Your job is to simply derive the means and variances of these *n* Gaussian distribution.

## Simulating some data and running the Gibbs sampler

- d) Let  $n = 100, \theta_0 = 0, \gamma = (0, 1, 0.25, 1)$ , simulate  $y_{1:n}$  following an AR(1) plus noise process.
- e) Using the derivations from a)-c), with  $a_1 = 0$  and  $R_1 = 9$ , implement the Gibbs sampler and obtain M = 1,000 draws, after discarding  $M_0 = 1000$  as burn-in, from  $p(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n | y_{1:n}, \gamma)$ . Let us call these draws  $\{\theta_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \theta_n^{(i)}\}$ . As for initial values, let  $\theta_{1:n}^{(0)} = y_{1:n}$ . For each  $t \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , obtain the 95% credible interval for  $\theta_t$  along with its median, i.e. obtain the 2.5th, 50th and 97.5th percentiles.

Below you find my own code for simulating the data:

```
set.seed(12345)
       = 100
n
sig
       = 1
tau
       = 0.25
alpha = 0
beta
       = 1
theta0 = 0
sig2 = sig^2
tau2 = tau^2
         = rep(0,n)
theta
theta[1] = rnorm(1,alpha+beta*theta0,tau)
for (t in 2:n)
  theta[t] = rnorm(1,alpha+beta*theta[t-1],tau)
y = rnorm(n,theta,sig)
plot(y)
lines(theta,col=2)
```

## Learning about $\gamma$ and running the complete Gibbs sampler

- f) Now, let us assume that  $(\alpha, \beta)$  follows a zero-mean bivariate normal with covariance  $\delta I_2$ , independent of  $\tau^2$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Also, let  $\sigma^2 \sim IG(a_{\sigma}, b_{\sigma})$  and  $\tau^2 \sim IG(a_{\tau}, b_{\tau})$ . The hyperparameters are  $\delta = 9, a_{\sigma} = b_{\sigma} = a_{\tau} = b_{\tau} = 1$ . Derive the additional full conditional distributions:
  - f.1)  $p(\alpha, \beta | \tau^2, \sigma^2, \theta_{1:n}, y_{1:n}) \equiv p(\alpha, \beta | \tau^2, \theta_{1:n})$  Bivariate Gaussian, f.2)  $p(\tau^2 | \alpha, \beta, \theta_{1:n}, y_{1:n}) \equiv p(\tau^2 | \alpha, \beta, \theta_{1:n})$  - Inverse Gamma, f.3)  $p(\sigma^2 | \alpha, \beta, \theta_{1:n}, y_{1:n}) \equiv p(\sigma^2 | \theta_{1:n}, y_{1:n})$  - Inverse Gamma,

You are now ready for the full-blown Gibbs sampler for the AR(1) plus noise model.

g) Compare the 95% credibility intervals for  $p(\theta_t | \alpha, \beta, \tau^2, \sigma^2, y_{1:n})$  from d) with  $p(\theta_t | y_{1:n})$  from f).