

Problems with *p*-values

BY HEDIBERT LOPES
hedibert@gmail.com
hedibert.org
February 2020

Text in red are downloadable

1. Why Most Published Research Findings Are False
Ioannidis (2005)
PLoS Medicine, 2(8), e124.
2. *The Cult of Statistical Significance: How the Standard Error Costs Us Jobs, Justice, and Lives*
Ziliak and McCloskey (2008)
University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI.
3. Revised Standards for Statistical Evidence
Johnson (2013)
Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 110(48), 19313-19317.
4. Scientific method: Statistical errors
Nuzzo (2014)
Nature, 506, 150-152.
5. Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science
Open Science Collaboration Science 349, (2015).
6. Not Even Scientists Can Easily Explain P-values
Aschwanden (2015)
7. The ASA's Statement on p-Values: Context, Process, and Purpose
Wasserstein and Lazar (2016)
The American Statistician, 70(2), 129-133.
8. Statistical Tests, P-values, Confidence Intervals, and Power: A Guide to Misinterpretations
Greenland, Senn, Rothman, Carlin, Poole, Goodman and Altman (2016)
European Journal Epidemiology, 31, 337-350.
9. Statisticians Found One Thing They Can Agree On: It's Time To Stop Misusing P-Values
Aschwanden (2016)
10. An investigation of the false discovery rate and the misinterpretation of p-values
Colquhoun (2016)
Royal Society of Open Science, 1, 140216.

11. The problem with *p*-values
Colquhoun (2016)
12. Empirical assessment of published effect sizes and power in the recent cognitive neuroscience and psychology literature
Szucs and Ioannidis (2016)
PLoS Biology, 15(3), e2000797.
13. On the reproducibility of psychological science
Johnson, Payne, Wang, Asher and Mandal (2017)
Journal of the American Statistical Association, 112(517), 1-10.
14. Redefine Statistical Significance
Benjamin, Berger, Johannesson *et al* (2018)
Nature Human Behavior, 2, 6-10.
15. Retiring significance: raise the bar
Johnson (2019)
Nature, 567, 461.
16. Scientists rise up against statistical significance
Valentin Amrhein, Sander Greenland, Blake McShane *et al* (2019)
Nature, 567, 305-307.
17. Evidence from marginally significant t statistics
Johnson (2019)
The American Statistician, 73(S1), 129-134.