

## BAYESIAN ECONOMETRICS

SPRING 2013

HOMEWORK 4

DUE DATE: June 4th 2013 (at the beginning of the class)

In class we presented the SV-AR(1) model

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \sigma_t \epsilon_t & \epsilon_t &\sim N(0, 1) \\ \log \sigma_t^2 &= \alpha + \beta \log \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \tau \omega_t & \omega_t &\sim N(0, 1),\end{aligned}$$

as a simple model for log-returns of financial time-series, where  $h_t = \log \sigma_t^2$  is (latent) the log-volatility at time  $t$ . Let us assume that the prior for  $(\alpha, \beta, \tau^2)$  is such that  $p(\alpha, \beta | \sigma^2) \sim N(b_0, \tau^2 B_0)$  and  $\tau^2 \sim IG(\eta_0/2, \eta_0 \tau_0^2/2)$  and  $h_0 \sim N(m_0, C_0)$ .

Let us study the modeling of S&P500 log-returns (derived from the S&P500 index) for the following four-year periods: a) 01/01/2001-12/31/2004; b) 01/01/2005-12/31/2008; c) 01/01/2009-12/31/2012; and d) 01/01/2001-12/31/2012. That is, roughly 1000 business days for each sub-sample and 3000 overall. You can obtain the data freely from the Yahoo Finance page:

<http://finance.yahoo.com/q/hp?s=GSPC+Historical+Prices>

Obtain posterior inference for the static parameters  $(\alpha, \beta, \tau^2)$  as well as the latent log-volatilities  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  for datasets a), b), c) and d), using the following three schemes:

- I. Random-walk MH for  $p(h_t | h_{-t}, \alpha, \beta, \tau^2, y^n)$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, n$ .
- II. Independent MH for  $p(h_t | h_{-t}, \alpha, \beta, \tau^2, y^n)$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, n$ , with the proposal obtained via Taylor expansion of the likelihood (see class notes).
- III. The normal approximation to  $\log \chi_1^2$ , which leads to a Gibbs sampler

Notice that I and II will most certainly require very long chains, while III will produce bad results regarding  $h_t$ 's and  $(\alpha, \beta, \tau^2)$ . It is your call to discuss the selection of the prior hyperparameters  $m_0, C_0, b_0, B_0, \nu_0$  and  $\tau_0^2$ , as well as the MCMC specifications (initial values, burn-in length, chain size and thinning). The three sub samples are intended to see how  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\tau^2$  might vary over time as well.

*Bonus (20%):* Use scheme I when  $\epsilon_t \sim t_\nu(0, 1)$  for the three datasets. Summarize posterior inference for  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  and  $(\alpha, \beta, \tau^2)$  for a few values of  $\tau^2$ , such as  $\{5, 10, 15\}$ .