Homework III 41000 - Business Statistics Spring 2013 Solution

Problem I: Suppose you roll a fair die 90 times and let X be the number of times the result is greater than or equal to 5. Compute Pr(X is in [20,25]) by a) Exact Binomial derivation (Excel function BINOMDIST); b) Approximate normal derivation (Excel function NORMDIST).

Here, n=90 trials and p=1/3 (greater than or equal to 5 when rolling a fair die). Also, Pr(X is in [20,25]) = Pr(X=20)+Pr(X=21)+...+Pr(X=25).

Exact solution:

BINOMDIST (20,90,1/3,FALSE) + ... + BINOMDIST (25,90,1/3,FALSE)

x	20	21	22	23	24	25	SUM
PR(X)	0.0069	0.0115	0.0180	0.0266	0.0372	0.0491	0.1493

Therefore, Pr(X is in [20,25]) = 0.1493

Approximate solution: NORMDIST(25, m, sd, TRUE)-NORMDIST(20, m, sd, TRUE), where m = 90*(1/3)=30 and s=sqrt(90*(1/3)*(2/3))= 4.472135955.

NORMDIST(25,30,4.472135955,TRUE) = 0.1318 NORMDIST(20,30,4.472135955,TRUE) = 0.0127

Therefore, Pr(X is in [20,25]) is approximately 0.1191

c) Repeat a) and b) for Pr(X is in [35,40]).

Exact solution:

x	35	36	37	38	39	40	SUM
PR(X)	0.0467	0.0357	0.0261	0.0182	0.0121	0.0077	0.1465

Therefore, Pr(X is in [20, 25]) = 0.1465

Approximate solution:

NORMDIST(40,30,4.472135955,TRUE) = 0.9873 NORMDIST(35,30,4.472135955,TRUE) = 0.8682

Therefore, Pr(X is in [20,25]) is approximately 0.1191

Final comment: Notice that the exact solutions are almost the same while the approximate solutions are the same. That is because the approximate solution is based on a normal approximation centered around 30 where the intervals [25,30] and [35,40] are symmetrically located away from the mean 30. **Problem II:** Ask 20 friends, colleagues and family members to answer YES or NO to each one of the following 5 questions.

Question	Sample proportion of YESES		
1. Are you in favor of the death penalty?	0.566		
2. Are you in favor of gun ban?	0.564		
3. Do you know the capital of Argentina?	0.580		
4. Do you support gay marriage?	0.720		
5. Do you think statistics is useful?	0.836		

For each one of the 5 questions, compute the 95% confidence interval for the true proportion of YESES (p).

I randomly selected 25 students and counted the number of yeses out of 500 trails (20 trials per homework) for each one of the above 5 questions. The 95% C.I. for p for each one of the above questions are

Lower limit = phat - 2*sqrt(phat*(1-phat)/500)

Upper limit = phat + 2*sqrt(phat*(1-phat)/500)

	- 1 - NL
Lower	Upper
limit	limit
0.522	0.610
0.520	0.608
0.536	0.624
0.680	0.760
0.803	0.869
	limit 0.522 0.520 0.536 0.680

Final remark: Some students reported yeses and noes for each question, as opposed to the final counts. That additional information allowed me to compute the following sample proportions (based on 200 trails, or 10 students' interviews):

Death	Gun	Ban		
penalty	0	1	Total	
0	0.165	0.260	0.425	
1	0.235	0.340	0.575	
Total	0.400	0.600	1.000	
Pr(favor of gun ban)=0.6 (200 trials)				

Pr(lavor of gun ban)=0.6 (200 trials)

Pr(favor of gun ban|against death penalty)=0.26/0.425=0.612 (85 trials)
Pr(favor of gun ban|favor death penalty)=0.34/0.575=0.591 (115 trials)

These three probabilities are NOT the same, so at first glance the opinions regarding gun ban and death penalty should be considered DEPENDENT. However, what happens when we DO take into account the uncertainties when estimating 0.6, 0.612 and 0.591? Let us construct the 95% interval for the three probabilities:

n	phat	Lower limit	Upper limit
200	0.600	0.531	0.669
85	0.612	0.506	0.718
115	0.591	0.499	0.683

All intervals highly overlap with all including [0.531;0.669], so we CAN NOT confidently say these three probabilities are NOT the same. At last not based on 200, 85 and 115 trials.