

# BAYESIAN ECONOMETRICS

SPRING 2013

HOMEWORK 1

DUE DATE: April 23rd 2013 (at the beginning of the class)

The file `spending.txt` contains data on per capita spending ( $y$ ) on public schools and per capita income ( $x$ ) by state in 1979 in the United States. The data are given in Greene (1997, Table 12.1, p. 541) and has been analyzed by Cribari-Neto, Ferrari and Cordeiro (2000) and Fonseca, Ferreira and Migon (2008), amongst others. Fonseca *et al.* (2008), for instance, considers the linear regression model in which an  $n$ -vector of observations  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)'$  satisfies

$$y = \alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon$$

where  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)'$  is the error vector and  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are independent and identically distributed according to the Student- $t$  distribution with location zero, scale parameter  $\sigma$  and  $\nu$  degrees of freedom, commonly denoted by  $t_\nu(0, \sigma)$ . The  $n$ -dimensional vector  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$  contains the explanatory variable *income*. In this exercise, we will assume (unrealistically) that  $\nu = 4.46$  and  $\sigma = 46.7$  are known and will focus our attention on implementing a SIR algorithm to obtain, among other things, summaries of  $p(\alpha, \beta | x, y)$ . In addition,  $x$  (per capita income) will be scaled by  $10^{-4}$ .

- a) Implement a SIR algorithm to draw  $\{(\alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}), \dots, (\alpha^{(M)}, \beta^{(M)})\}$  from the target distribution, i.e. the posterior distribution  $p(\alpha, \beta | y, x)$ . The number of draws  $M$  is part of your investigation.
- b) Obtain the Monte Carlo approximation to the posterior predictive  $p(y_{new} | x_{new}, x, y)$  for a few values, say 5, of  $x_{new}$ . In particular, compute the Monte Carlo approximation to  $E(y_{new} | x_{new}, x, y)$ .
- c) A Monte Carlo scheme to sample  $\{y_{new}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{new}^{(M)}\}$  from  $p(y_{new} | x_{new}, x, y)$  is to sample  $y_{new}^{(i)}$  from  $p(y_{new} | \alpha^{(i)}, \beta^{(i)}, x_{new}, x, y) = p(y_{new} | \alpha^{(i)}, \beta^{(i)}, x, y)$ , which is a  $t_\nu(\alpha^{(i)} + \beta^{(i)} x_{new}, \sigma)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, M$ . Compare the histogram of  $\{y_{new}^{(1)}, \dots, y_{new}^{(M)}\}$  with the Monte Carlo approximation to the posterior predictive  $p(y_{new} | x_{new}, x, y)$  from b).
- d) Show (empirically) that the approximation to  $E(y_{new} | x_{new}, x, y)$  from b) has smaller Monte Carlo error than  $\sum_{i=1}^M y_{new}^{(i)} / M$  from c).